PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the	PAT	TENT COOPE	CRATION TREA		
NTERNATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHORIT	Y]	DCT	
To: JEFFREY J. RICHMOND STOLOWITZ FORD COWGER LLP 621 SW MORRISON, SUITE 600 PORTLAND, OR 97205			PCT		
			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
-			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	22 JUL 2009	
Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
5087-1083					
International application 1	No. Inte	ernational filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US08/60695		April 2008 (17.04.20		17 April 2007 (17.04.2007)	
International Patent Class	ification (IPC) or bot	h national classifica	tion and IPC		
IPC: H03K 19/173(USPC: 326/38	2006.01)				
Applicant					
CYPRESS SEMICONDU	CTOR CORPORAT	ION			
		·····			
1. This opinion contains	s indications relating	to the following iter	ns:		
	Basis of the opin	ion			
		,			
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V	V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI	Certain documer	nts cited			
Box No. VII					
Box No. VIII					
	Contain Observa				
International Prelim Authority other than	rnational preliminary inary Examining Au this one to be the II	uthority ("IPEA") (PEA and the chosen	except that this does	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an ne International Bureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis(b)</i> ered.	
IPEA a written reply	together, where app	propriate, with amen	idments, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	
For further options,	see Form PCT/ISA/2	20.			
3. For further details, s	ee notes to Form PC1	Г/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing addre	ss of the ISA/ US	Date of comp	letion of this opinion	Authorized officer	
Mail Stop PCT, A Commissioner fo	Attn: ISA/US	18 July 2009	(18.07.2009)	Clay Laballe 4. Hurley for	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virg	inia 22313-1450			/ Telephone No. (571) 272-1594	

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US08/60695

Box No. I Basis of this opinion						
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
the international application in the language in which it was filed						
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).						
2. This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a))						
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
a. type of material						
a sequence listing						
table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b. format of material						
on paper						
in electronic form						
c. time of filing/furnishing						
contained in the international application as filed.						
filed together with the international application in electronic form.						
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
4. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
5. Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

1.

2.

3.

International application No.

	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT/US08/60695					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid not paid additional fees						
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not pay additional fees.	ity found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to					
3.	, .	ority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is					
	 complied with not complied with for the following reasons: See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210) 						
		•					
		·					
2							
4. (Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts.	arts of the international application:					

the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-18

 \boxtimes

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US08/60695

Claims NONE_____NO

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. Statement						
Novelty (N)	Claims <u>4-5, 7, 10-13, 17</u>	YES				
	Claims <u>1-3, 6, 8-9, 14-16, 18</u>	NO				
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 4-5, 7, 10-13, 17	YES				
	Claims <u>1-3, 6, 8-9, 14-16, 18</u>	NO				
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-18</u>	YES				

.

2. Citations and explanations:

Please See Continuation Sheet

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2007)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US08/60695

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-3, 6, 8-9, and 14-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Azegami et al. (6,404,224).

Claim 1, Azegami shows an apparatus (Figs. 46 or 59), comprising:

different functional dements (223 and 50, Fig. 46) all located in a same integrated circuit wherein at least one of the functional elements comprises a microcontroller (223);

configuration registers or configuration memory (222 and 225) in the integrated circuit to store configuration values loaded by the microcontroller;

connectors configured to connect the integrated circuit to external signals (input/output not shown in the figures, but showing figure 32B); and

a system level interconnect (90, 221) located in the integrated circuit to programmably connect together the different functional elements and different connectors according to the configuration values loaded into the configuration registers or configuration memory by the micro-controller (col. 26, lines 44-50 and 60-65).

Claim 2, Azegami shows the apparatus according to claim 1 wherein the system level interconnect dynamically changes the connections between the different functional elements and the different connectors in real time (may be changed at any time, col. 60- 65) according to different operational states of the integrated circuit.

Claim 3, Azegami shows the apparatus according to claim 1 wherein the system level interconnect is configured to connect any of the different functional elements in the integrated circuit to any of the different connectors and further configured to connect any of the different functional elements to any of the other functional elements according to the configuration values (86-1, Fig. 32B for connectors and 95, Fig. 34B for interconnect).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US08/60695

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Claim 6, Azegami shows the apparatus according to claim 1 wherein: the system level interconnect is configured to operate a selected one of the connectors as an input pin (82, Fig. 32B) by coupling the selected connector to an input for one of the functional elements while the integrated circuit is in a first operational state (I/O pin connected to any one of the logic cell through horizontal and vertical by programmable switches shown as circles in figure 32B as input), and

the system level interconnect is further configured to operate the same selected connector as an output pin (82, Fig. 32B) by coupling the same selected connector to an output for one of the functional elements while the integrated circuit is in a second operational state (I/O pin connected to any one of the logic cell through horizontal and vertical by programmable switches shown as circles in figure 32B as output).

Claim 8, Azegami shows the apparatus according to claim 1 wherein the system level interconnect compness horizontal channels (vertical lines) configured to programmably couple to the different functional elements according to the configuration values in the configuration registers or configuration memory (225, Fig. 46); and

programmable couple to the different connectors (not shown in the figure 46, but shown in figure 32B) according to the configuration values in the configuration registers or configuration memory (86-1 and 86-2).

Claim 9, Azegami shows the apparatus according to claim 8 further comprising: channel switches (not shown in the figure, but inherent elements that are connected to the logic cells inputs and output by vertical lines, for example, see Fig. 32B that have a plurality of switches as a little circle that can configurable connect to the vertical lines) that programmably couple the horizontal channels to the different functional elements according to the configuration values; and

segmentation switches (little squares on the vertical lines, Fig. 34A) that programmably couple the horizontal channels to each other according to the configuration values.

Claims 14-15, refer to claims 1-3 and 8 above.

Claim 16, Azegami shows the integrated circuit according to claim 15 further comprising segmentation elements (little squares on the vertical lines, Fig. 34A) that programmably couple together the channels according to the configuration values.

Claim 18 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Azegami et al. (6,404,224) in view of Wojke (2003/0055852).

Azegami discloses the claimed invention except for a logic block the each includes uncommitted programmable logic sections and structural arithmetic logic sections.

Wojke discloses a logic block includes uncommitted programmable logic sections (32 or four look up tables, Fig. 3) and structural arithmetic logic sections (68 and 70).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the logic cell of Azegami with four look up tables and the arithmetic logic sections of Wojke, in order to perform highly mathematical intensive operation and effectively.

Claims 4-5, 7, 10-13, and 17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest different functional elements that are all located on a same integrated circuit wherein at least one of the functional elements comprises a micro-controller. Configuration registers or configuration memory in the integrated circuit store configuration values loaded by the micro-controller. Connectors are configured to connect the integrated circuit to external signals. A system level interconnect also located in the integrated circuit programmably connects together the different functional elements and different connectors according to the configuration values loaded into the configuration registers.

Claims 1-18 meet the criteria set out in PCT 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the claimed subject matter can be made or used in industry.